	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/022,181	MATSUMOTO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Scott Alan Knauss	2874
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Scott Alan Knauss</u> .	(3)	
(2) Steven Caldwell, Attorney for Applicant.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 26 February 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:  Watanabe, Ichiki		
Claims discussed: 3,4		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Examiner suggested claim language to better define invention and overcome prior art. Applicant agreed to changes.		
Part III.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
gant		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		